

Project Manager Report Approval Form

Purpose: Document public dollar investment to protect and restore healthy watersheds and natural habitats that support thriving communities and strong economies.

Date of Report: 1/30/2019 Grant #: 216-8205-14355 Project Manager: Andrew Ditterer
Report type: PISR # 1 Progress#: _____ Quarterly # _____ Other: _____

Checklist

If NO, explain:

- 1) Review requirements noted in Special Conditions (Exh B) of the grant agreement to identify additional and/or different reporting requirements.

Did Grantee meet these requirements?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☒ n/a

☐ Progress Report indicates grantee will not be able to meet project objectives described in grant scope of work.

☐ PISR special conditions were not met.

☐ Other:

EXPLAIN WHY:

- 2) Review PISR requirements noted in Exhibit D of the grant agreement.

Did Grantee meet these requirements?

☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ n/a

☐ PISR report did not provide sufficient documentation to determine the status of OWEB investment.

☐ Other:

EXPLAIN WHY:

- 3) Photo points: Did Grantee fulfill the requirements for photo point monitoring (i.e. before and after photos located at consistent photo points, including a current photo).

Did Grantee meet these requirements?

☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ n/a

☐ Photo points do not include all major project components.

☐ Photo points do not include project location on each landowner site.

☐ Grantee is unable to locate photo point site(s).

☐ Grantee is unable to access photo point location.

☐ Other:

EXPLAIN WHY:

- 4) Other requirement(s):

EXPLAIN WHY:

REPORT APPROVAL

☐ Progress report demonstrates a trajectory for success in meeting project objectives. If not, report sufficiently indicates Grantee is taking action to increase likelihood for project success

☒ PISR sufficiently describes project status to determine OWEB investment is in place and functioning as intended. If not, report sufficiently documents why, so to inform future OWEB decisions.

JUSTIFICATION: Briefly explain how you resolved issues documented in the checklist and/or attach relevant communications.
If you need more room, continue on reverse side

Report approved by:

Project Manager Signature

Date

1/30/2019



File Code: 2240

Date: January 28, 2019

**Fence Reconstruction within the Upper Grande Ronde Watershed Project
Project # 216-8205-14355
Exhibit D
Post-Implementation Status Report**

1) Assessment of Project continuing to meet goals specified in the Grant Agreement

The *Fence Reconstruction within the Upper Grande Ronde Watershed Project* was completed in 2017. This project resulted in more secure livestock and elk fencing to protect recovering riparian areas within the Upper Grande Ronde. Much of the area has had recent restorative action including riparian planting. Many of the fences were over 20 years old and were in varying stages of deterioration. Pods were in need of heavy maintenance to better protect planting investments made during restoration activities. The project as completed meet the goals specified in the Grant Agreement.

2) Information or materials required by the Grant Agreement Exhibit B Conditions of Agreement

There were no special conditions required for this project.

3) A description of any maintenance or modifications made since Project completion or since the last Status Report, whichever was last.

There was no maintenance or modifications made post project completion.

4) An accounting of any costs associated with Project maintenance and reporting to the Board.

Normal annual fence maintenance was completed in 2018 following the work completed in 2017. Costs incurred are listed below.

Ungulate Pods: Approximately 12 days of maintenance was completed on pods utilizing a 4 person USFS crew @ \$500 per day (\$6000).

Livestock Riparian Exclosure Fence: Approximately 10 days of maintenance was completed on riparian fence utilizing a two person USFS crew @\$250 per day (\$2500). Permittee maintenance of riparian fence was completed prior to livestock turnout. Costs are not known but estimated at five days @\$150 per day (\$750).

All Ungulate (Elk) Fence: Approximately two days of maintenance was completed on riparian elk fence utilizing a two person USFS crew @\$250 per day (\$500).

5) A summary of any public awareness activities related to the Project undertaken since Project completion or since the last Status Report, whichever was last.

There were no public awareness activities related to this project since project completion.



6) Lessons learned, if any, from the Project.


There were no lessons learned from this project.

7) Color Photographs

View of reconstructed water gap on Burnt Corral Creek 2018.



View of reconstructed water gap on Burnt Corral Creek 2019.



View of cleared fence line on Burnt Corral Creek 2018.



View of cleared fence line on Burnt Corral Creek 2019.



View of pods protecting planted deciduous shrubs along Grande Ronde River 2018.



View of pods protecting planted deciduous shrubs along Grande Ronde River 2019.



View of pods protecting planted deciduous shrubs along Chicken Creek 2018.



View of pods protecting planted deciduous shrubs along Chicken Creek 2019.



Aric Johnson
Range Management Specialist
La Grande Ranger District